

## Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/602,572 First Named Applicant: TUNNING  
 Examiner: PATEL, MANGLESH Art Unit: 2178 Status of Application: FINAL

## Tentative Participants:

(1) MARK FARRELL } FOR }  
 (2) EXAMINER PATEL }  
 (3) EMILY LING } APPLICANT }  
 (4) \_\_\_\_\_

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 03 2006

Proposed Date of Interview: AUG 7-9, 2006Proposed Time: ANY (AM/PM)

## Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ YES ☐ NOIf yes, provide brief description: PROPOSED AMENDMENT CLAIM 1(ATTACHED ON  
SEPARATE  
PAGE)

## Issues To Be Discussed

| Issues<br>(Re), Obj., etc) | Claims/<br>Fig. #s | Prior<br>Art                                    | Discussed                | Agreed                   | Not Agreed               |
|----------------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| (1) <u>103/9</u>           | <u>1</u>           | <u>Art MACROMEDIA'S<br/>DREAMWEAVER WYSIWYG</u> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (2) _____                  | _____              | _____   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (3) _____                  | _____              | _____   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (4) _____                  | _____              | _____   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

## Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

OUR PROPOSED AMENDED CLAIM 1 APPEARS TO OVERCOME THE 103/9  
REJECTION BECAUSE PRIOR ART DOESN'T TEACH EDITING REMOTE

CONTENT FROM WITHIN RUNNING WEB DOC, EVEN WHEN REMOTE CONTENT IS NON-COMPLIANT  
AND EDITOR IS SPAWNED FROM WITHIN WEB DOC WITH SINGLE TAG OR ELEMENT.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on \_\_\_\_\_.

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible. Emily H. Ling 58,619

Mark C. Farrell 45,988  
 Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Examiner/SPE Signature

MARK FARRELL  
 Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

45,988  
 Registration Number, if applicable

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Dear Examiner Patel,  
re : Phone Interview for 10/602,572

8/3/2006

At the end of the Office Action of 6/1/2006 you mentioned that if Applicant was willing to move the app forward with amendment, to schedule an interview. What a great invitation!! -Mark Farrell, 45,988

1. **(Currently Amended)** A computer-executable method of editing a web page document and a remote content used by the web page document during a runtime of the web page document using an editor generated by a first tag within the web page document, comprising:

locating the remote content referenced by a second tag of the web page document, via ~~comprising~~ an element behavior of the second tag in a web page template, wherein the remote content resides in a database remote from the web page document;

converting the remote content via the element behavior into a markup language used in the web page document ~~template to create converted content~~, wherein the remote content can be compliant or non-compliant with the markup language;

replacing the second tag with the converted content in response to rendering the web page ~~template~~ document; and

updating the second tag upon using the editor to ~~change~~ ~~[[a]]~~ ~~change~~ ~~[[in]]~~ the remote content, by automatically converting the edited remote content via the element behavior into the markup language ~~or the converted content~~.

**Clean Version (Proposed Claim 1):**

A computer-executable method of editing a web page document and a remote content used by the web page document during a runtime of the web page document using an editor generated by a first tag within the web page document, comprising:

locating the remote content referenced by a second tag of the web page document, via an element behavior of the second tag, wherein the remote content resides in a database remote from the web page document;

converting the remote content via the element behavior into a markup language used in the web page document, wherein the remote content can be compliant or non-compliant with the markup language;

replacing the second tag with the converted content in response to rendering the web page document;

updating the second tag upon using the editor to change the remote content, by automatically converting the edited remote content via the element behavior into the markup language.